

AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF CERTAIN NON-QUASIPRIMITIVE ALMOST SIMPLE GRAPHS

XIN GUI FANG*, GEORGE HAVAS*¹ and JIE WANG[†]

*Centre for Discrete Mathematics and Computing, Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, The University of Queensland, Queensland 4072, Australia

[†] Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P. R. China

1 Introduction

A permutation group on a set Ω is said to be *quasiprimitive* if each of its nontrivial normal subgroups is transitive on Ω . By the structure theorem in [18, Section 2] quasiprimitive permutation groups may be divided into 8 disjoint types (AS , TW , HA , HS , HC , SD , CD , PA) in a way which is helpful for applications to graph theory (see the description in [3]). The main type considered in this paper is AS : a quasiprimitive group G is said to be of type AS if $S \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, for some nonabelian simple group S .

Let Γ be a finite simple undirected graph with vertex set $V\Gamma$ and edge set $E\Gamma$ and let G be a group of automorphisms of Γ . The graph Γ is said to be *G -quasiprimitive* if and only if G is quasiprimitive on $V\Gamma$. In particular, an $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ -quasiprimitive graph is said to be *quasiprimitive*. The graph Γ is said to be *G -locally-primitive* if G is transitive on $V\Gamma$ and the stabilizer G_α is primitive on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ denotes the set of all vertices adjacent to α . The graph Γ is said to be *$(G, 2)$ -arc transitive* if G is transitive on the 2-arcs of Γ .

Praeger in [19] raises the questions: (1) for a G -quasiprimitive graph Γ , under what conditions can we be certain that $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ is quasiprimitive on $V\Gamma$? (2) If $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ and G are quasiprimitive with the same quasiprimitive type, is it possible that $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(\text{Aut}\Gamma)$, and if so what are the possibilities for these socles?

We look first at question (1). It is shown in [7] that, for a connected G -quasiprimitive graph Γ with G of type AS , if Γ is G -locally-primitive then either Γ is quasiprimitive or $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ has a restricted structure. Baddeley in [2, Section 6] constructs the first example of connected non-quasiprimitive graph Γ which is $(G, 2)$ -arc transitive, for some quasiprimitive group G of type TW , and he comments there that such graphs seem difficult to construct. An infinite family of $(L_2(q), 2)$ -arc transitive graphs with valency 4 is constructed in [12], and Li [14] proves that the full automorphism groups are isomorphic to $Z_2 \times L_2(q)$, which gives the first infinite family of non-quasiprimitive graphs Γ such that $L_2(q)$ acts quasiprimitively on $V\Gamma$. Recently an infinite family of $U_3(q)$ -quasiprimitive transitive graphs of valency 9 has been constructed by the authors of this paper in [8]; their full automorphism groups are isomorphic to $Z_3.G$, a semidirect product, where $Z_3 = C_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(U_3(q))$ and $U_3(q) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(U_3(q))$. From this we obtain a new infinite family of non-quasiprimitive graphs Γ admitting a quasiprimitive group of type AS acting transitively on the vertices and the 2-arcs of Γ . Observing all non-quasiprimitive

¹Partially supported by the Australian Research Council.

examples with G quasiprimitive given above, we find that $\text{Aut}\Gamma = C.G$ with C some small nontrivial cyclic group, which motivates us to suggest a set of conditions under which we may guarantee that either Γ is non-quasiprimitive or $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ has a restricted structure. In this paper we concentrate on G -quasiprimitive graphs for G of type AS . The set of conditions we employ is the following:

Condition $\mathcal{P}$ *Let Γ be a connected, S -locally-primitive graph with S a nonabelian simple group. Set $G = \text{Aut}(S) \cap \text{Aut}\Gamma$ and $C = C_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(S)$. Γ and G are said to satisfy Condition $\mathcal{P}$ if $C \neq 1$ and $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ has no quasiprimitive subgroup of type AS containing $C.G$ as its maximal subgroup.*

Remarks on Condition $\mathcal{P}$ (a) Using [7, Theorem 1.2] we shall prove that, for a connected S -locally-primitive graph Γ with S a nonabelian simple group with Γ and G satisfying Condition $\mathcal{P}$, then any quasiprimitive overgroup Y of G in $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ must be of type AS (see Theorem 1.1). From this we conclude that Condition $\mathcal{P}$ does guarantee that either Γ is non-quasiprimitive or $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ has a non-quasiprimitive subgroup Y such that $C.G$ is maximal in Y .

(b) For an arbitrary group C , it is in general quite difficult to verify that Γ and G satisfy Condition $\mathcal{P}$. However, if C is a nilpotent group and if p is a prime divisor of $|C|$, then $C.G$ is a maximal p -local subgroup of Y whenever there exists such a quasiprimitive group Y of type AS in $\text{Aut}\Gamma$. Note that all maximal p -local subgroups of almost simple groups have been determined (see, for example, [1, 5, 13, 16]). So we can find out which Γ and G satisfy Condition $\mathcal{P}$ using a case-by-case check.

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that Γ and G satisfy Condition $\mathcal{P}$. Then either Γ is a non-quasiprimitive graph; or $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ is a quasiprimitive group of type AS and $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ contains a non-quasiprimitive subgroup Y such that $C.G$ is maximal in Y . Further, for any intransitive minimal normal subgroup N of Y , then: N centralizes S ; or $N \cap C = 1$ and $N = Z_p^n$ for some prime p ; and $S = S(q)$ is a simple group of Lie type over a field of order $q = p^e$, and further, S and n/e are as in Table 1. In particular, if S lies in the first column of Table 1 or $S = E_7(q)$, then N is the unique intransitive minimal normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ not centralized by S .*

For Γ and G given as in Theorem 1.1, let Γ^* denote the quotient graph modulo the C -orbits on $V\Gamma$ (obtained by taking C -orbits as vertices and joining two C -orbits by an edge if there is at least one edge in Γ joining a point in the first C -orbit to a point in the second one). By [17], Γ is a cover of Γ^* . As an application of Theorem 1.1 we have the following.

Theorem 1.2 *Let Γ and G satisfy Condition $\mathcal{P}$. Suppose that C is a cyclic group with prime order and that $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ has no a subgroup $N:S$ given as in Table 1. If $\text{Aut}\Gamma^*$ is quasiprimitive of type AS with socle S , then Γ is non-quasiprimitive and $\text{Aut}\Gamma = C.G$.*

S	values for n/e		S	values for n/e
$B_l(q), l = 3 \text{ or } 4$	$[8, 9] \text{ or } [8, 16]$		$E_7(q)$	$[56, 63]$
$C_l(q), l = 3 \text{ or } 4$	$[8, 9] \text{ or } [9, 16]$		$A_l^\pm(q), l > 1$	$[l + 1, l(l + 1)/2]$
$D_l^\pm(q), l = 4 \text{ or } 5$	$[8, 12] \text{ or } [16, 20]$		$B_l(q), l > 4$	$[2l + 1, l^2]$
${}^3D_4(q), q \text{ odd}$	12		$C_l(q), l \neq 1, 3, 4$	$[2l, l^2]$
$E_6^\pm(q)$	$[27, 36]$		$D_l^\pm(q), l \neq 1, 2, 4, 5$	$[2l, l(l - 1)]$

Table 1
where $[i, j]$ stands $i \leq n/e \leq j$, for distinct integers i and j with $i < j$.

Remark on Theorem 1.2 For each graph given as in [8, 14], we know $C = Z_3$ or Z_2 and that $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ has no subgroup $N.S$ given as in Table 1. It is also easy to prove that $S \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma^* \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ (up to isomorphism). By Theorem 1.2, to show $\text{Aut}\Gamma = C.G$, it remains only to verify that Γ and G satisfy Condition $\mathcal{P}$, which can be completed by using the method mentioned in Remark (b) on Condition $\mathcal{P}$. Indeed, this is not difficult to check for the graphs given in [8, 14].

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in the next section. In the final section we consider Question (2) raised at the beginning of this paper and give some examples of G -quasiprimitive graphs such that $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ and G have same quasiprimitive type but $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}\Gamma) \neq \text{soc}(G)$.

2 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

The first lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Its proof follows immediately from [17] and the connectivity of Γ .

Lemma 2.1 *Let Γ be a connected, nonbipartite, Y -locally-primitive graph. Then each intransitive normal subgroup of Y is semiregular.*

Suppose now that G is an almost simple group with socle S and let G and Γ be given as in Theorem 1.1. Write $X := \text{Aut}\Gamma$ and $C := C_X(S)$. Since S is locally primitive on $V\Gamma$, S is transitive on the arcs of Γ , and so S is not regular on $V\Gamma$. It follows that C is not transitive on $V\Gamma$ (see, for example, [21] or [9, Proposition 2.4]).

Proof [of Theorem 1.1] First we show that, for each overgroup K of S in X , if K is quasiprimitive then K is of type AS . Suppose to the contrary that K is not of type AS . By [7, Theorem 1.2], either $\Gamma = K_8$ with $S = \text{PSL}(2, 7)$ and $K = \text{AGL}(3, 2)$; or K is of type PA with $\text{soc}(K) = S_1 \times S_2 \cong S \times S$ and S is a diagonal subgroup of $\text{soc}(K)$. In the former case, by [6], $S_\alpha = Z_7 : Z_3$ and S_α is a maximal subgroup, and hence S acts primitively on $V\Gamma$. It follows from [21] that $C = 1$, which contradicts Condition $\mathcal{P}$. In the latter case, since $S < \text{soc}(K)$, Γ is also $\text{soc}(K)$ -locally-primitive. Since $S_i \triangleleft \text{soc}(K)$ and $S_i \cong S$, for $i = 1, 2$, the both S_1 and S_2 are transitive by Lemma 2.1. It follows that S_1 and S_2 are regular,

which is impossible since $|S_1| = |S| > |V\Gamma|$. So K is of type AS . In particular, take $K = X$, then X is of type AS .

Suppose now that X is quasiprimitive. Since X is of type AS , by Condition $\mathcal{P}$, $G.C$ is not maximal in X , and hence there is a proper subgroup Y of X containing $G.C$ such that $G.C$ is maximal in Y . If Y is quasiprimitive then Y is of type AS , which contradicts Condition $\mathcal{P}$. Thus Y is not quasiprimitive.

Let N be an intransitive minimal normal subgroup of Y with $N \not\leq C$. Since Γ is also a Y -locally-primitive graph, by Lemma 2.1, N is semiregular on $V\Gamma$. If $N < C.G$ then CN/C is isomorphic to a normal subgroup of G , which implies that either $N \leq C$ or S is isomorphic to a subgroup of CN/C . The former case contradicts $N \not\leq C$. While in the latter case we conclude that $|N|$ is divisible by $|S|$, which contradicts the fact that N is semiregular. Thus $N \not\leq C.G$ and so $Y = \langle N, C.G \rangle$ (since $C.G$ is maximal in Y). Then arguing as in the proof of [7, Theorem 1.1] we conclude that N is an elementary abelian p -group, for some prime p . If $N \cap C \neq 1$, then $N \cap C$ is normalized by N and $C.G$, respectively. Thus $N \cap C$ is a nontrivial normal subgroup of Y . Since $N \cap C \leq C$ and N is a minimal normal subgroup of Y , $N \cap C = N$ and hence $N \leq C$, which is not the case. So $N \cap C = 1$. It follows that S acts faithfully by conjugation on N . Thus S has a faithful projective p -modular representation of degree n . A similar argument as in the proof of [10, Theorem 1.1] shows that S and n/e are given as in Table 1. For example, we look at the case where $S = A_l(q)$ with $q = p_1^e$ for some prime p_1 . If $p_1 \neq p$ then $n/e \geq (q-1)/(2, q-1)$ by [13, Table 5.3.A], and hence

$$|N| \geq q^{(q-1)/(2, q-1)} \geq |A_l(q)| > |V\Gamma|,$$

which is impossible. So $p_1 = p$. Now let $R_p(S)$ denote the minimal dimension of a faithful, irreducible, projective KS -module, where K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . By [13, Table 5.4.C], $R_p(A_l(q)) = l+1$, which implies that $n/e \geq l+1$. On the other hand, since a Sylow p -subgroup of $A_l(q)$ has order $q^{l(l+1)/2}$ and since $|S|$ is divisible by $|N|$, $n/e \leq l(l+1)/2$. So n/e lies in $[l+1, l(l+1)/2]$. A similar argument deals with other nonabelian simple groups S .

Finally, for S in the first column of Table 1 or $E_7(q)$, if there is another intransitive minimal normal subgroup K not centralized by S , then a similar argument as above implies that $K \cong Z_p^m$ with m/e in the second column of Table 1 or [56, 63]. Set $W = NK$. Now W is a normal p subgroup of X with $|W| = p^{n+m}$. Since p^{n+m} is greater than the p -part of $|S|$, W must be transitive on $V\Gamma$ by Lemma 2.1. Recall that S is one of the following groups: $B_l(q)$ or $C_l(q)$ with $l \in \{3, 4\}$, $D_l^\pm(q)$ with $l = 4$ or 5 , ${}^3D_4(q)$ with q odd or $E_7(q)$. From [11, Corollary 2] it follows that $S = \text{PSp}(4, 3)$ and $|V\Gamma| = 27$, and so $X \leq \text{AGL}(3, 3)$. However it is trivial to see that $\text{PSp}(4, 3)$ is not isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{AGL}(3, 3)$, which is a contradiction. So N is the unique intransitive minimal normal subgroup of X not centralized by S . $\square$

Proof [of Theorem 1.2] We claim first that $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ is not quasiprimitive. If this is not the case, by Theorem 1.1, $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ contains a non-quasiprimitive subgroup Y such that $C.G$ is maximal in Y . Let N be an intransitive minimal normal subgroup Y .

If $N \not\leq C$, by Theorem 1.1, we conclude that $N:S$ would be in Table 1, which is not the case. So $N \leq C$ and hence $N = C = Z_{p_1}$. Since $G \cong (C.G)/C < Y/C$ and Y/C is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}\Gamma^*$, $S \leq Y/C \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ (up to isomorphism). It follows that $Y \cong C.G_1$, for some group G_1 with $S \leq G_1 \leq \text{Aut}(S)$. On the other hand, from the definition of G we know that G is the maximal group among the groups H with the properties that $S \leq H \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ and $C.H \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$, which implies that $G_1 \cong G$. Thus $Y = C.G$, which is a contradiction. So $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ is non-quasiprimitive.

Let N be an intransitive minimal normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}\Gamma$. If $N \not\leq C$ then S acts nontrivially by conjugation on N . Now N is semiregular on $V\Gamma$ by Lemma 2.1. Then arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we conclude that $N = Z_p^n$, for some prime p and integer $n > 1$, and $N:S$ lies in Table 1, which is a contradiction. So $N \leq C$, and hence $N = C$. Now $C \triangleleft \text{Aut}\Gamma$ and $\text{Aut}\Gamma/C$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}\Gamma^*$. Thus $\text{Aut}\Gamma \cong C.G_1^*$, for some subgroup G_1^* of $\text{Aut}\Gamma^*$ with $S \leq G_1^* \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ (up to isomorphism). From the definition of G it follows that $G_1^* \cong G$ and hence $\text{Aut}\Gamma = C.G$. $\square$

3 Some examples of quasiprimitive graphs

In this section we always assume that G is an almost simple group and Γ is a connected G -arc transitive graph with valency d_Γ . By [20] there exists a 2-element $g \in G$ with the properties: $g \notin N_G(H)$, $g^2 \in H$ and $\langle H, g \rangle = G$, such that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma^* := \Gamma(G, H, HgH)$ with $d_\Gamma = |H : H \cap H^g|$, where Γ^* is defined by

$$V\Gamma^* = \{Hx \mid x \in G\}, \quad E\Gamma^* = \{\{Hx, Hy\} \mid x, y \in G, xy^{-1} \in HgH\}. \quad (1)$$

All connected regular G -arc transitive graphs considered in this section will be defined in terms of a subgroup H and a 2-element g as in (1).

Now we give some examples of G -quasiprimitive graphs. The first example gives all G -quasiprimitive graphs with a prime power number of vertices, for G a nonabelian simple group. The proof of Example 3.1 follows immediately from [11, Corollary 2] and [6].

Example 3.1 Let Γ be a finite connected graph and suppose that G is a transitive subgroup of $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ with G a nonabelian simple group. If $|V\Gamma|$ is a prime power, then either Γ is a complete graph or $G = \text{PSU}(4, 2)$ and $|V\Gamma| = 27$. Further, G and $\text{soc}(\text{Aut}\Gamma)$ are given in Table 2.

The graphs on the first five lines of Table 2 are all complete, but the graph for $\text{PSU}(4, 2)$ is not. The graphs on lines two to five provide one infinite family and three particular graphs with the property that $\text{soc}(G) \neq \text{soc}(\text{Aut}\Gamma)$.

Example 3.2 Let Γ be a connected G -arc transitive graph of valency d_Γ , where $(G, |V\Gamma|)$ is one of $(\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8), 36)$, $(A_9, 120)$ and $(M_{11}, 55)$. Then $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ is an almost simple group. Moreover, the pair $(G, \text{soc}(\text{Aut}\Gamma))$ is $(\text{PSL}_2(8), A_9)$, $(A_9, \Omega_8^+(2))$ or (M_{11}, A_{11}) , respectively.

G	$ V\Gamma $	$\text{soc}(\text{Aut}\Gamma)$	comments on G_α
A_{p^a}	p^a	A_{p^a}	$G_\alpha \cong A_{p^a-1}$
$\text{PSL}(n, q)$	$\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} = p^a$	A_{p^a}	the stabilizer of a line or hyperplane
$\text{PSL}(2, 11)$	11	A_{11}	$G_\alpha \cong A_5$
M_{23}	23	A_{23}	$G_\alpha \cong M_{22}$
M_{11}	11	A_{11}	$G_\alpha \cong M_{10}$
$\text{PSU}(4, 2)$	27	$\text{PSU}(4, 2)$	$G_\alpha \cong 2^4:A_5$

Table 2

G	d_Γ	$ V\Gamma $	G_α	$\text{soc}(G)$	$\text{Aut}\Gamma$
$\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8)$	14 or 21	36	7:6	$\text{PSL}(2, 8)$	S_9
A_9	56 or 63	120	$\text{PSL}(2, 8).2$	A_9	$\Omega_8^+(2)$
M_{11}	18 or 36	55	$3^2:Q_8.2$	M_{11}	S_{11}

Table 3

Proof For $\alpha \in V\Gamma$ write $H = G_\alpha$. For $(G, |V\Gamma|)$ given as above, by [6] we have the structure of H as given in Table 3 (see Column 4). Note that H is a maximal subgroup of G , for G and G_α given as in Table 3. So $\langle H, g \rangle = G$, for any 2-element $g \in G \setminus H$, and hence the graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, H, HgH)$ is a connected G -arc transitive graph with valency $d_\Gamma = |H : H \cap H^g|$. Look first at $G = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8)$ and $H = R:T$ with $R \cong Z_7$ and $T \cong Z_6$. Computation shows that T is self-normalized in G . Thus $|H \cap H^g|$ is either 2 or 3, and so $d_\Gamma = 21$ or 14. Moreover, since a Sylow 2-subgroup of G is elementary abelian all suitable 2-elements g have order 2. If $d_\Gamma = 21$ then $H \cap H^g = 2$. Using the MAGMA program (see Figure 1) we obtain both all connected $(\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8))$ -arc transitive graphs with valency 21 and their full automorphism groups. Using a similar method we obtain Table 3, and the theorem follows from Columns 4 and 5 of Table 3. $\square$

Remark on Example 3.2 Recall the definition of 2-closure of a finite permutation group: if G is a finite permutation group on a set Ω the 2-closure $G^{(2)}$ of G is the largest subgroup of $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ containing G which has the same orbits as G in the induced action on $\Omega \times \Omega$. For each group G in Example 3.2, Table 3 shows that $\text{Aut}\Gamma \cong G^{(2)}$, and all pairs of $(G, \text{Aut}\Gamma)$ in the example occur in [15, Table 1].

Acknowledgement We are grateful to John Cannon for assistance in setting up the MAGMA programs for calculating general arc-transitive graphs.

```

H := PGammaL(2,8);
A :=SylowSubgroup(H, 7);
M :=Normalizer(H, A);
for x in M do
  if Order(x) eq 3 then
    for y in M do
      if Order(y) eq 2 then
        M6:=sub<H | x,y>;
        if Order(M6) eq 6 then
          B:=M6; break;
        end if;
      end if;
    end for;
  end if;
end for;

B3:=SylowSubgroup(B,3);
B2:=SylowSubgroup(B,2);
A21:=sub<H |A, B3>;
N2:=Normalizer(H,B2);

phi, G := CosetAction(H, M);
T := Stabilizer(G, 1);
A21 := phi(A21);
B2 := phi(B2);
NB2 := Normalizer(G, B2);

Grphs := [];
for g in NB2 do
  if Order(g) eq 2 and sub< G | T, g > eq G then
    print "Success with", g;
    found := true;
    Nbs := { 1^(g*x) : x in A21 };
    Gr := Graph< Support(G) | <1, Nbs>^G >;
    print Gr;
    print (AutomorphismGroup(Gr));
    print Order(AutomorphismGroup(Gr));

    Append(~Grphs, Gr);
  end if;
end for;

```

Figure 1: MAGMA program for $P\Gamma L(2,8)$ -arc transitive graphs of valency 21

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, On the maximal subgroups of the finite subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 469–514.
- [2] R. Baddeley, Two-arc transitive graphs and twisted wreath products, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993), 215–237.
- [3] R. Baddeley and C.E. Praeger, On primitive overgroups of quasiprimitive permutation groups, Technical Report No. 1996/4, University of Leicester, 1996.
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [5] A.M. Cohen, M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl and G.M. Seitz, The local maximal subgroups of exceptional groups of Lie type, finite and algebraic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **64** (1992), 21–48.
- [6] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [7] X.G. Fang, G. Havas and C.E. Praeger, On the automorphism group of quasiprimitive graphs admitting arc-transitive actions of almost simple groups, *Technical Report 405*, The University of Queensland, 1997.
- [8] X.G. Fang, G. Havas and J. Wang, A Family of Non-Quasiprimitive Graphs Admitting a Quasiprimitive 2-Arc Transitive Group Action, *Technical Report 406*, The University of Queensland, 1997.
- [9] X.G. Fang and C.E. Praeger, Finite two-arc transitive graphs admitting a Suzuki simple group, *Comm. Algebra* (to appear).
- [10] X.G. Fang and C.E. Praeger, On graphs admitting arc-transitive actions of almost simple groups, *J. Algebra* (to appear).
- [11] R.M. Guralnick, Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group, *J. Algebra* **81** (1983), 304–311.
- [12] A. Hassani, L. Nochefranca and C.E. Praeger, Two-arc transitive graphs admitting a two-dimensional projective linear group, *Preprint*, 1995.
- [13] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [14] C.H. Li, A family of quasiprimitive 2-arc transitive graphs which have non-quasiprimitive full automorphism groups, *European J. Combin.* (to appear).
- [15] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, On the 2-closures of finite permutation groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **37** (1988), 241–252.
- [16] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, G.M. Seitz, Subgroups of maximal rank in finite exceptional groups of Lie type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **65** (1992), 297–325.
- [17] C.E. Praeger, Imprimitive symmetric graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **19A** (1985), 149–163.
- [18] C.E. Praeger, An O’Nan-Scott Theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **47** (1993), 227–239.
- [19] C.E. Praeger, Finite quasiprimitive graphs, *Surveys in combinatorics*, 65–85, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **241**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [20] G.O. Sabidussi, Vertex-transitive graphs, *Monatsh. Math.* **68** (1964), 426–438.
- [21] H. Wielandt, *Finite permutation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.